UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/529,961	11/03/2005	Andrew Gordon Williams	562492003800	7456
	7590 07/09/200 FOERSTER LLP	EXAMINER		
755 PAGE MIL	L RD	BATISTA, MARCOS		
PALO ALTO, CA 94304-1018			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/09/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/529,961	WILLIAMS, ANDREW GORDON			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	MARCOS BATISTA	2617			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 Not</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This     Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-40 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 and 19-21 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 4-18 and 22-40 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 31 March 2005 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corrections.	vn from consideration.  r election requirement.  r. a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is objected to dra	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 07/12/2005, 07/26/2007.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite			

Application/Control Number: 10/529,961 Page 2

Art Unit: 2617

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Objections

1. Claims 4-18 and 22-40 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim must not reference back to another multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims 4-18 and 22-40 not been further treated on the merits.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claims 1-3 and 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Suumaki et al. (US 6847610 B1).

Consider claim 1, Suumaki discloses an arrangement for session control in a wireless communication network, comprising: means for detecting application-specific packets in a packet stream (see fig. 5, col. 11 lines 55-60); and means for activating, in response to the means for detecting, a plurality of packet sessions with application-specific QoS parameters, without requiring explicit cooperation of application software

(see col. 7 lines 38-41, col. 11 lines 65-67, col. 12 lines 1-2, and 46-49 - the QMOC

does the QoS parameters detection independently of any type of application).

Consider claim 2, Suumaki discloses the invention of claim 1 above, Suumaki

also teaches further comprising means for deactivating at least one of the plurality of

packet sessions (see col. 14 lines 56-58).

Consider claim 3, Suumaki discloses the invention of claim 1 above, Suumaki

also teaches wherein the wireless communication network comprises a UMTS radio

access network (see col. 3 lines 31-35).

Consider claims 19-21, these are method claims corresponding to system claims

1-3. Therefore, they have been analyzed and rejected based upon the system claims 1-

3 respectively.

Conclusion

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

Examiner should be directed to Marcos Batista, whose telephone number is (571) 270-

5209. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:00am to

5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's

supervisor, Rafael Pérez-Gutiérrez can be reached at (571) 272-7915. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/529,961 Page 4

Art Unit: 2617

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571)

273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free) or 703-305-3028.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist/customer service whose telephone

number is (571) 272-2600.

Marcos Batista

/M. B./

06/24/2008

/Rafael Pérez-Gutiérrez/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617